LAW OFFICE OF DATTAN SCOTT DATTAN

Brian D. Heady

2600 Denali Street, Suite 460

Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: (907)276-8008 Fax: (907)278-8571

E-mail: bheady@dattanlaw.com

Attorney for Bernadette Wilson, d/b/a Denali Disposal, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
	Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	OPPOSITION TO ANCILLARY
)	MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF
SUK JOON LEE, and,)	SEIZURE WARRANT
KYONG TAEK SONG,)	
)	
	Defendants.)	
)	Case No. 3:14-cr-00107-RRB

Bernadette Wilson, d/b/a Denali Disposal, Inc., by and through her attorney Brian. Heady, hereby submits her Opposition to the Ancillary Motion for Issuance of Seizure Warrant.

Ms. Wilson does not dispute that the Court issued a Final Order of Forfeiture regarding the Paradise Inn. Additionally, Ms. Wilson does not dispute that Denali Disposal, Inc, was hired by the United States Marshals to clean the Paradise Inn prior to its liquidation. The entirety of the dispute revolves around whether the United States Marshals authorized Ms. Wilson to dispose of a neon Palm Tree and, if not, whether issuance of the Seizure Warrant is appropriate.

Prior to removing the Palm Tree for the Paradise Inn property, an employee of

the United States Marshals (employee) walked Ms. Wilson throughout the interior and exterior

of the Paradise Inn property. As part of the process, the employee pointed out numerous objects

to Ms. Wilson, within the interior of the Paradise Inn that were to be removed and discarded by

Denali Disposal, Inc. Ms. Wilson took pictures of these items so that there would be no mistake

as to what should be discarded. (Ex. A) In addition to pointing out items on the interior of the

Paradise Inn the employee also pointed out items outside of the property that were to be removed

and discarded by Denali Disposal, Inc. Again, Ms. Wilson took pictures of these items so that

there would be no mistake as to what should be discarded. (Ex. B) The outside items include

the subject Palm Tree. The Palm Tree, like the items inside the Paradise Inn, is a non-

functioning; the neon sign is in disrepair and has not been illuminated for quite some time.

Ms. Wilson notified the employee of the progress with removing the Palm Tree

through the course of several text messages. (Ex. C) At no time did the employee suggest that

Denali Disposal Inc. should leave the Palm Tree behind or remove it and hold it for the U.S.

Marshals. In fact, based on the employee's responses to the text messages, it was clear that he

was pleased that the Palm Tree had been cleared away from the site.

According to the U.S. Marshals Service website, located at

https://www.usmarshals.gov/assets/, the Marshals Service is a participant in the Department of

Justice Assets Forfeiture Program. The website notes the following: "[t]he U.S. Marshals

Service plays a critical role in identifying and evaluating assets that represent the proceeds of

crime as well as efficiently managing and selling assets seized and forfeited by DOJ."

The website further notes that "[t]he Marshals Service manages a wide array of assets, including

USA v. SUK JOON LEE and KYONG TAEK SONG; Case No. 3:14-CR-00107RRB

real estate, commercial businesses, cash, financial instruments, vehicles, jewelry, art, antiques,

collectibles, vessels and aircraft." Finally, the website states that "[t]he agency employs best

practices from private industry to ensure that assets are managed and sold in an efficient and

cost-effective manner."

Based on the website description, the Marshals Service is tasked with "identifying

and evaluating" assets, and efficiently managing and selling those assets which have been seized

and forfeited by DOJ. Authority has been delegated to the Marshals Service to perform these

duties. Here, the Marshals Service exercised its proper authority by permitting the disposal of

certain items inside and outside the Paradise Inn, including and specifically, the subject Palm

Tree.

In U.S. v. McInnis, 346 F.Supp.2d 210 (D.Me. 2004), the court found that a

deputy U.S. Marshal had no authority, express or implied, to make an enforceable promise of

immunity, nor could that alleged promise preclude revocation. In that case, the deputy marshal

allegedly promised the defendant, who was on supervised release, that he would not be

prosecuted if he disclosed the whereabouts of contraband; there was no showing that prosecutor

or probation officer had given the marshal any basis to believe he had such authority.

This case is distinguishable from *McInnis* because, here, the Marshals Service

had the authority to "manage" the items at the Paradise Inn, whether it was to sell those items or

place them in the dumpster. Ms. Wilson was instructed by the Marshals Service to dispose of

various items on the premises. At that point, Ms. Wilson was given control over those items,

including the Palm Tree, to do with as she saw fit.

It makes little sense to suggest that the employee had authority to tell Ms. Wilson

USA v. SUK JOON LEE and KYONG TAEK SONG; Case No. 3:14-CR-00107RRB

that Denali Disposal was to remove for disposal all of the items inside the Paradise Inn but

somehow did not have the authority to instruct her to dispose of the Palm Tree. In fact, neither

the employee nor anyone from the U.S. Marshal's office suggested that there were any problems

for a full two weeks. It was not until there was an article in the Anchorage Daily News that a

representative from the United States Attorneys office, and not the U.S. Marshal's office, called

Ms. Wilson to inquire about the tree. During this time period the employee of the U.S. Marshal's

office continued to assure Ms. Wilson that there were no problems.

Respectfully, this seems to be a case of "sour grapes." The employee had

authority to direct Ms. Wilson to dispose of hundreds of items, including the Palm Tree. Ms.

Wilson and Denali Disposal, Inc. did exactly as they were instructed. Unfortunately for the

government, there is no authority that permits the U.S. Marshal to renege on its instructions to

Ms. Wilson and reposes property that rightfully belongs to Denali Disposal, Inc.

The Motion for Issuance of a Seizure Warrant should be Denied.

Dated this 5th day of February, 2018 at Anchorage, Alaska.

s/Brian D. Heady

Attorney for Bernadette Wilson

dba Denali Disposal, Inc.

E-mail: bheady@dattanlaw.com

Alaska Bar No. 0709051

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 5, 2018, a copy of the foregoing

was served electronically on

Kelly Cavanaugh, AUSA

s/ Brian D. Heady

USA v. SUK JOON LEE and KYONG TAEK SONG; Case No. 3:14-CR-00107RRB

Opposition to Ancillary Motion for Issuance of Warrant